

International Journal of Medical Science and Current Research (IJMSCR) Available online at: www.ijmscr.com Volume 6, Issue 4, Page No: 357-361 July-August 2023



# Locking Compression Plating For Osteoporotic And Peri-Articular Fractures - A Short Term Outcome Analysis

Dr. G. Uma Maheswara Reddy <sup>1\*</sup>, Dr. M.Guru Teja <sup>2</sup>

<sup>1,2</sup>Assistant Professor, Department Of Orthopaedics, Sri Balaji Medical College Hospital & Research Institute, Tirupathi Airport Road, Renigunta, Tirupathi, Andra Pradesh, India

> \*Corresponding Author: Dr. G. Uma Maheswara Reddy

Assistant Professor, Department Of Orthopaedics, Sri Balaji Medical College Hospital & Research Institute, Tirupathi Airport Road, Renigunta, Tirupathi, Andra Pradesh, India

Type of Publication: Original Research Paper Conflicts of Interest: Nil

### Abstract

**Introduction**: Peri-articular and osteoporotic fractures of long bones are becoming more common and are very challenging injuries to treat even for a veteran orthopaedician. Peri-articular fractures occur in two different age groups -due to different types of injuries. In young patients peri-articular fractures occur due to high velocity injury such as road traffic accidents, fire arm injuries and sport's injuries while in elderly patients with osteoporosis it occurs usually due to low velocity injury like fall during walking. Also these conditions do result from fractures in the young treated by conservative methods and which in the long term end up in non-unions and further more these conditions are compounded by disuse osteoporosis.

**Aim Of The Study** : The aim of the study is to analyze the short term results in terms of union and functional outcome for osteoporotic and periarticular fractures treated with locking compression plating.

**Materials And Methods**: This study is a prospective study Conducted in the Department of Orthopaedics Sri Balaji Medical College Hospital & Research Institute, Tirupathi Airport Road,Renigunta,Tirupathi,Andrapradesh,India , from September 2021 to September 2022 with a sample size of 21 cases.

**Results**: There were a total of 3 complications in two patients, two were infections( one case of superficial and one case of deep infection) the infection rate which was 0.01% and is similar to other reported series They were treated with thorough wound debridement and i.v antibiotics for six weeks which soon resolved. There was one case of non-union which was due to the infection. Solid union was observed in 21 out of the 24 cases ( 88 %) which are similar to other studies. The range of movements attained at an average follow-up of 15.5 months was 87 % of which 17 had excellent results, 4 had good outcome, 2 had fair outcome and 1 case had poor functional results according to the respective scoring systems like DASH, Harris hip score and HSS (Hospital Severity Score) knee score.

**Conclusion**: This study highlights the role of locking compression plating in complex osteoporotic and periarticular fractures in which conventional dynamic compression plates and reconstruction plates would fail prematurely. The correct application of locking compression plates requires a long learning curve and spurious use will negate the advantages of the locking plates. The results of our study have confirmed earlier reports that locking compression plates provide better fixation in osteoporotic fractures. The chances of implant failure are less as the screws are firmly position inside the bone. Also since these plates are limited contact plates there is less contact between plate and the bone and hence there is minimal disruption of sub-periosteal blood supply to the fracture ends and this aids in fracture union. The locked nuts prevent further tightening of the screws and hence reduction is maintained and secondary angular deformities are prevented.

# **Keywords**: Locking compression plating, Osteoporotic, Peri-articular fractures, Short term outcome analysis **Introduction**

Peri-articular and osteoporotic fractures of long bones are becoming more common and are very challenging injuries to treat even for a veteran orthopaedician. Peri- articular fractures occur in two different age groups due to different types of injuries.<sup>1</sup> In young patients, peri- articular fractures occur due to high-velocity injuries such as road traffic accidents, firearm injuries and sport's injuries while in elderly patients with osteoporosis it occurs usually due to low-velocity injury like falls during walking. Also, these conditions do result from fractures in the young treated by conservative methods and which in the long term end up in nonunions and furthermore, these conditions are compounded by disuse osteoporosis.<sup>2</sup> Because of the proximity of peri-articular fractures to the corresponding joints, regaining full motion and function may be difficult. Also achieving full union rates are increasingly difficult because of the lack of availability of good bone stock which is very common in periarticular fractures because of the cancellous nature of the metaphyseal fragment.<sup>3</sup> The incidences of malunion.nonunion, and infection are relatively high in many reported series. In older patients, treatment may be complicated by coexisting osteoporosis. There are multiple options for the treatment of these fractures with their associated merits and demerits.<sup>4</sup> Anatomical restoration of the articular surface in cases of peri- articular fractures and fracture alignment and adequate good compression in osteoporotic fractures along with secure fixation of both proximal and distal fragments are the key to achieve the good functional outcome in these fractures to prevent early secondary osteoarthritis. Treatment of these fractures has been a controversial subject over the past two decades.<sup>5</sup> There has been a changing philosophy towards the surgical treatment of these complicated fractures. Close management of these fractures was the treatment of choice until 1970. This was due to the non-availability of appropriate implants and the lack

of proper techniques. Apart from the usual problems of confining the elderly patient to bed, conservative methods at any age may be complicated by joint stiffness, malunion and nonunion.<sup>6</sup> Early surgical stabilization can facilitate the care of the soft tissue, permit early mobility and reduces the complexity of nursing care. Open reduction and internal fixation have been advocated, using implants, including the conventional dynamic compression plates, angle blade plate, fickle devices, Rush roads, Ender nails, dynamic condylar screw, condylar buttress plate, and interlocking nails.<sup>7</sup>

Materials And Methods: This study is a prospective study Conducted in the Department of Orthopaedics Sri Balaji Medical College Hospital & Research Institute, Tirupathi Airport Road, Renigunta, Tirupathi, Andrapradesh, India, from September 2022 to Apirl 2023 with a sample size of 21 cases. *Inclusion criteria*: Age more than 16 years, osteoporotic bones either disuse or pathological bones, fractures occurring at or near joints namely distal femur, proximal humerus, distal radius, distal tibia, proximal tibia, osteoporotic non-unions, and patients who consent to be included in the study.: Exclusion criteria: Exclusion criteria were skeletal immaturity, patients with tumourous conditions, severe articular comminution not possible to be reconstructed with internal fixation, undisplaced patterns needing only conservative fracture management and patients are not willing for internal fixation.

#### **Study protocol**

A total of 21 patients with osteoporotic and periarticular fractures were included in the study as per the criteria outlined previously. On admission, a detailed examination of the patients was carried out after hemodynamic stabilization. Patients were then immobilized on a plaster of Paris. Then standard antero – posterior and lateral view X-rays are taken and the fracture configuration noted. Computerized Dr. G. Uma Maheswara Reddy et al International Journal of Medical Science and Current Research (IJMSCR)

tomography is also taken when needed to assess the exact alignment of the fragments. The fracture is classified using the various classification systems earlier described. Then after the assessment for anesthetic fitness open reduction and internal fixation of the fracture are done using a locking compression plate.

#### **Statistical Software**

The statistical software namely SAS 9.2, SPSS 15.0, Stata 10.1, MedCalc 9.0.1, Systat 12.0 and R

environment ver. 2.11.1 were used for the analysis of the data and Microsoft Word and Excel have been used to generate graphs, tables, etc.

#### Results

Table 1 shows 3 cases were between 17 to 20 years, 6 cases were between 21 to 30 years, in 31 to 40 years 6 cases, 4 were between 41 to 50 years, 2 was in elder age between 51 to 60 years. among 21 cases 17 were male and 4 were females.

Age (in years)	No of patients	Percentage (%)
17-20	3	14.2
21-30	6	28.5
31-40	6	28.5
41-50	4	19.0
51-60	2	9.5

#### Table 1: Age Distribution.

#### Table 2: Site Involvement.

	Distal	Proximal	Distal	Distal	Diaphyseal
	Femur	Humerus	Radius	Tibia	Osteoporotic
No. of patients	2	6	4	1	8

Table 2 shows no evidence of early secondary osteoarthrosis in none of the 14 cases of juxta-articular fractures treated with locking compression plates. 21 patients were satisfied with the functional outcome following plating with locking compression plates. There were 10 patients who had either revision plating or primary plating done for osteoporotic fractures. Nine out of the 10 fractures united without any need for further surgeries. One patient had the infection and this attributed to the poor skin condition and soft tissue condition due to multiple failed procedures in him.

#### Table 3: Surgery Outcome.

Outcome	No of cases	Percentage (%)
Union	19	90.47
Non-union	2	9.5

#### Table 4: Range Of Movement Attained.

			_ 0
Outcome	No of cases	Percentage (%)	្រ
		e , ,	

Dr. G. Uma Maheswara Reddy et al International Journal of Medical Science and Current Research (IJMSCR)

Excellent outcome	17	80.95
Good outcome	2	9.5
Fair outcome	1	4.76
Poor outcome	1	4.76

Table 4 shows the range of movements attained at an average follow-up of 15.5 months was 87% of which 17 had excellent results, 2 had good outcome, 1 had fair outcome and 1 case had poor functional results according to the respective scoring systems like DASH, Harris hip score and hospital severity score knee score. There was a total of 3 complications in two patients, two were infections (one case of superficial and one case of deep infection) the infection rate which was 0.01% and is similar to other reported They were treated with thorough wound debridement and I.V. antibiotics for six weeks which soon resolved

#### Discussion

The recent evolution in reduction and internal fixation of fractures is based on an improved understanding of the biology of bone, of the biomechanics of fracture fixation and fracture healing and on the analysis of previous failures. Improvements in implant designs play an important role in avoiding possible complications and in achieving the primary goals of operative fracture treatment. The evolution of locking compression plates in the fixation of specific fracture characteristics has revolutionized the treatment of complicated and failed previous internal fixation procedures.<sup>9</sup> Our study was done to analyze the usefulness of such locking plates in osteoporotic and periarticular fractures and results were computed and compared with similar studies done by other surgeons. Gautier et al reported his series of 36 cases of proximal humeral fractures treated with proximal humeral LCP and reported two cases of humeral necrosis which was not seen in our study.<sup>10</sup> Breakage of the implant was seen in one patient which was also not encountered in our study. The DASH score reported was 18.0 which was similar to the DASH score of 19.0 in our study. Sommer et al reported a series of 72 patients with proximal humeral fractures treated with PHILOS plate and reported a union rate of 94%. There were three cases of non-union (0.04%). Our series also had similar results.<sup>11</sup> Korner et al reported four cases of implant failure with locking plates and attributed this to poor technical application and also a poor choice of the appropriate implant rather than to the features of the locking plate itself. His experience highlights the importance of a detailed understanding of the biomechanical

principles of plate fixation as well as meticulous preoperative planning.<sup>12</sup> Cole et al reported his series of 24 cases of osteoporotic non-unions of diaphyseal fractures treated with locking compression plates and reported a union rate of 97% with two cases requiring additional bone grafting to achieve union.<sup>13</sup> Kassab et al in his series of 44 patients with diaphyseal osteoporotic non-unions and achieved solid union in 40 cases (90%). In our series, it was 86% which was comparable. There were two cases of persistent nonunions that required secondary bone grafting and revision of internal fixation.<sup>14</sup>

## Conclusion

The correct application of locking compression plates requires a long learning curve and spurious use will negate the advantages of the locking plates. The results of our study have confirmed earlier reports that locking compression plates provide better fixation in osteoporotic fractures. The chances of implant failure are less as the screws are firmly positioned inside the bone. Also since these plates are limited contact plates there is less contact between the plate and the bone and hence there is minimal disruption of sub-periosteal blood supply to the fracture ends and this aids in fracture union. The locking nuts prevent further tightening of the screws and hence reduction is maintained and secondary angular deformities are prevented. We have used locking compression plates in both osteoporotic and juxta- articular fractures and have found to be implant of choice in these fractures. The union rates achieved by us are 86% which is comparable to other studies. Also, the low infection in our study and the non-union rate are also comparable to similar studies done by other groups. Hence locking compression 

<sup>age</sup>360

plates are special implants that have been specifically designed for clinical application in osteoporotic and juxta-articular fractures.

#### References

- 1. Gardner MJ, Brophy RH, Campbell D, Mahajan A, Wright TM. The mechanical behavior of locking compression plates compared with dynamic compression plates in a cadaver radius model. J Orthop Trauma. 2005;19(9):597-603.
- 2. Pleco M, Kraus A. Internal fixation of proximal humerus fractures using the locking compression proximal humeral plate. Oper Orthop Traumatol. 2005;17(1):25-50.
- 3. Bjorkeenheim JM, Pajarinen J, Savolainen V. Internal fixation of proximal humeral fractures with a locking compression plate: a retrospective evaluation of 72 patients followed for a minimum of 1 year. Acta Orthop Scand. 2004;75(6):741-5.
- Frankhauser F, Boldin C, Schippinger G, Haunschmid C, Szyskowitz R. A new locking plate for unstable fractures of the proximal humerus. Clin Orthop Relat Res. 2005;430:176-81.
- Sommer C, Babst R, Muller M, Hanson B. Locking compression plate loosening and plate breakage: a report of four cases. J Orthop Trauma. 2004;18(8):571-7.
- Egol KA, Kubiak EN, Fulkerson E, Kummer Fj, Koval J. Biomechanics of locked compression plate and screws. J Orthop Trauma. 2004;18(8):488-93.
- 7. Kaab MJ, Frenk A, Schmeling A, Schaser K, Schutz M, Haas NP. Locked internal fixator:

sensitivity of screw/ plate stability to the correct insertion angle of the screw. J Orthop Trauma. 2004;8:483-7.

- Ring D, Kloen P. Kadzielski J, Helfet D, Jupiter JB. Locking compression plates for osteoporotic nonunions of the diaphyseal humerus. Clin Orthop Relat Res. 2004;425:50-4.
- Korner J, Diederichs G, Arzdorf M, Lill H, 9. Josten C. Schneider E, Linke B. А biomechanical evaluation of methods of distal humerus fracture fixation using locking conventional compression plates versus reconstruction plates. J Orthop Trauma. 2004;18(5):286-93.
- 10. Gautier, Sommer C. Guidelines for the clinical application of the LCP. Injury 2003;34(2):63-76.
- 11. Sommer C, Gautier E, Muller M, Helfet DL, Wagner M. First clinical results of the locking compression plate. Injury. 2003;34(2):43-54.
- 12. Korner J, Lill H, Muller LP, Rommens PM, Schneider E, Linke B. The LCP-concept in the operative treatment of distal humerus fracturesbiological, biomechanical and surgical aspects. Injury. 2003;34(2):20-30.
- 13. Cole PA, Zlowodski M, Kregor PJ. Less Invasive Stabilization System (LISS) for fractures of the proximal tibia: indications, surgical technique and preliminary results of the UMC Clinical Trial. Injury. 2003;34(1):16-29.
- 14. Egol KA, Kubiak EN, Fulkerson E, Kummer FJ, Koval KJ. Biomechanics of locked plates and screws. J Orthop Trauma. 2004;8(8):488-93.