International Journal of Medical Science and Current Research (IJMSCR)

Available online at: www.ijmscr.com Volume 4, Issue 6, Page No: 1449-1454

November-December 2021

# A Clinical Profile of Small Bowel Perforation – Prospective Cross-Sectional Study

<sup>1</sup>Subburaaj. A. P, <sup>2</sup>Sridhar. J, <sup>3</sup>Nabeel Yusuf. A, <sup>4</sup>Preethiya. S

<sup>1,2,4</sup>M.S., <sup>1</sup>Professor, <sup>2</sup>Professor and Head of Department, <sup>3</sup>M.B.B.S, Post Graduate (Junior Resident), Department of General Surgery, Vinayaka Mission Kirupananda, Variyar Medical College and Hospital

## \*Corresponding Author: Dr. Nabeel Yusuf. A

City Hospital, 87, RKV Road, Erode – 638003

Type of Publication: Original Research Paper

Conflicts of Interest: Nil

### **Abstract**

**Background:** Intestinal perforation is a surgical emergency with a wide variety of clinical features and causes. To recognize the different causes of small bowel perforation, clinical features, diagnostic modalities and to investigate various surgical procedures, it's outcomes and medical management.

**Materials and Methods:** The present study was a prospective study conducted at Surgery Department, Vinayaka Mission's Kirupananda Variyar Medical College and Hospital from June 2018 to November 2020. A total of 30 Patients experiencing abdominal pain and showing signs of peritonitis, presenting to the department of surgery and casualty section were considered as study subjects. Data was analyzed by using coGuide v.1.0.3 **Results:** In the present study, 26 (86.67%) were male and 4 (13.33%) were female. Among study participants 25 (83.33%) had history of peptic ulcer disease and 30(100%) had pain, the mean duration of pain was 8.83 ± 4.09 hours, ranged from (2 to 18) hours, 29(96.67%) had distension. DUP was 25 (83.33%) participants.

**Conclusion:** The results of the present study show that commonest cause of small intestine perforation was peptic ulcer. There was predominance of male population. Abdominal pain and abdominal distension were common clinical features while air under the diaphragm was present in all the patients. DUP was found to be most common post-operative diagnosis

Keywords: Small bowel Perforation, Peptic Ulcer, DUP, Surgical Emergency, Abdominal Pain.

#### Introduction

The loss of continuity of the intestine wall, known as intestinal perforation, is a potentially fatal complication that can occur due to a range of diseases [1]. From the esophagus to the rectum, perforation can occur anywhere in the gastrointestinal system [2]. Small intestine perforation is an acute pathological disease caused by a rupture in the small bowel wall due to various causes, resulting in the leakage of intestinal gas and substances into the peritoneal cavity [3].

Perforation of the small intestine, commonly known as the small bowel, can occur in adults due to gangrene, hernia strangulation, or other causes [4–6]. Appendicitis and diverticulitis are the most prevalent

infectious causes of perforation. Diverticulitis is more frequent after middle age, but Appendicitis can occur at any age. Both diseases are thought to be caused by entrapped feces in a blind-ending structure, which causes increased intraluminal pressure, stasis, and infection, resulting in a localized abscess or outright perforation. Inflammatory bowel illnesses, including Crohn's disease and ulcerative colitis, especially Crohn's, which causes full-thickness inflammation of the intestinal wall, can lead to perforation [7,8]. Other perforation causes common include obstruction, necrosis, cancer, and ulcerative illness [9–12]. Gastrointestinal perforations are among the most common surgical emergencies that surgeons face [13,14].

Primary peritonitis is an infection of the peritoneal fluid that is generally monomicrobial and does not result in visceral perforation. The most frequent peritonitis is secondary peritonitis, which occurs when the integrity of a hollow viscus is lost. Tertiary peritonitis arises after secondary peritonitis has been treated, either as a result of a failure of the host's inflammatory response or as a result of superinfection [15]. The majority of patients arrive late and have purulent peritonitis and septicemia. The surgical treatment of perforated peritonitis is complicated; nevertheless, better surgical skills, antimicrobial therapy, and critical care support have improved the result of such cases [16,17].

In India, perforation peritonitis differs significantly from that of its western counterparts [18–20]. According to Kallely MF et al., the small bowel is the second most frequent location of perforation (30%) [21]. According to Kiran Somani et al., typhoid fever is the most prevalent cause of non-traumatic small intestine perforation, followed by ischemic bowel disease and TB [22]. Very few studies from the previous literature shed light on the complete clinical profile of small bowel perforation. Hence, we conducted this study to recognize the different causes of small bowel perforation, clinical features, diagnostic modalities and investigate various surgical procedures, outcomes, and medical management.

#### **Materials And Methods:**

The present study was a prospective study conducted Surgery Department, Vinayaka Mission's Kirupananda Variyar Medical College and Hospital from June 2018 to November 2020. A total of 30 Patients experiencing abdominal pain and showing signs of peritonitis, presenting to the department of surgery and casualty section, were considered study subjects. Patients presenting with duodenal and small bowel perforation and perforation with features of peritonitis during radiological investigations were included in the study. Patients with a history of peritonitis other than the cause of perforation. Immuno-compromised patients were excluded from the study. The study was approved by the institutional ethical committee, and written informed consent was obtained from the participants before enrolment in the study.

During the study procedure, chief complaints of the study participants, including pain, vomiting, fever,

and abdominal distension, were obtained. Participants were examined for history past illnesses like diabetes mellitus, hypertension, bronchial asthma, epilepsy, tuberculosis, hematemesis, Malena, Nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAID) intake, and Proton pump inhibitors (PPIs). Systemic examination was performed for the respiratory system, cardiovascular system, central nervous system, and pre abdominal system. Investigations including Complete blood count, erect X-ray abdomen, chest x-ray (CXR), Ultrasound abdomen were performed.

#### **Statistical Methods:**

Descriptive statistics were used to analyze data following the study's objectives. Data were expressed as the mean, 95% confidence interval (CI; lower and upper bounds), median, minimum and maximum, and percentage, where appropriate. Data were analyzed by using coGuide software, V.1.0.3 [23].

#### **Results:**

A total of 30 subjects were included in the final analysis

As per the study, the mean age was  $43.1 \pm 11.11$ , ranged 25 to 65 years, 26(86.67%) were male and 4(13.33%) were female. out of 30 participants, 15(50%) were alcohol consumption, 7(23.33%) were smoking, 19 (63.33%) were NSAID use, 25 (83.33%) had history of peptic ulcer disease and 30(100%) had pain, the mean duration of pain was  $8.83 \pm 4.09$ hours, ranged from (2 to 18) hours, 29 (96.67%) had distension, 12 (40.00%) had vomiting, 3 (10.00%) had constipation/diarrhoea and 11(36.67%) had fever in symptoms. The mean pulse rate was  $100.43 \pm$ 14.47 b/min, ranged from 72 to 128, the mean systolic blood pressure was 98.67 ± 10.08mmhg, ranged from 80 to 120 and the mean diastolic blood pressure was  $60.33 \pm 9.64$  mmhg, ranged from 40 to 90 mmhg. (Table 1)

Among the study population, 30(100%) had dehydration and tenderness for each, 21(70%) had single guarding/rigidity and 9(30%) had double guarding/rigidity, 30(100%) had OB. 1 of liver dullness, 4(13.33%) had b. sounds, 6(20%) had free fluid. The mean total count was  $11667.6 \pm 3830.48$   $\mu$ L, ranged from 6800 to 18230 and mean haemoglobin was  $10.17 \pm 1.58$  g/dl, ranged from 7 to 14, 30(100%) had X-erect abdomen -GUD. The post op diagnosis of 20 CM FRO ICJ, 10 CM FROM ICJ,

12 CM FROM ICJ, 15 cm from ICJ and 25 CM FROM ICJ was 1(3.33%) participant for each. and DUP was 25(83.33%) participants. The mean size of perforation was  $1.17 \pm 0.61$ cm, ranged between 0.50 to 2.50, 30(100%) were Procedure (COP+OP) and the mean date of discharge was  $12.53 \pm 1.85$  days, ranged between 10 to 17 days. (Table 2)

#### **Discussion:**

The current study findings show that the male population was majorly showing the signs of abdominal pain and peritonitis. Our results agreed with studies by Garwood RA et al. in their case review study presented a case of small bowel perforation secondary to metastatic lung cancer. They found a male predominance of 89 percent versus 11 percent female [24]. Also, Vaidya R et al. concluded that a higher percentage of perforations occurred in males compared with females in their study [25]. These findings suggest that males are at higher risk of small intestinal perforation.

The history of peptic ulcers was prominently identified among the study population. A study conducted to determine the clinical profile of patients with intestinal perforation found that major causes included peptic ulcer 42.5% [26]. Merry Francis Kallely et al. found that V's Commonest etiology was peptic ulcer perforation [27]. Our study's results contradict the study done by as they found a common cause of perforation was typhoid in 66 patients [28]. Malhotra MK et al. reported that peptic ulcer perforation was 43 cases (46%) in line with our study [29]. Abdominal pain was reported in all the study participants, and its duration ranged from 2 to 18 hrs. Secondary to abdominal pain was abdominal distention. In a retrospective cohort study, it was observed that Cases with perforations due to vasculitic involvement had more small bowel involvement, longer duration of abdominal pain before perforation (41 days vs. 0 days, p=0.005) [30]. Our results matched with a study by Malhotra MK et al. where they found 93(100%) study participants having abdominal pain. Similarly, abdominal distention was 31(33%) [29]. Similar findings were demonstrated in their case series of undiagnosed minor bowel stenosis presenting with acute perforation after capsule endoscopy. A 60-year-old male presented with distension of the stomach after capsule ingestion [31]. Another case study conducted on a 77-years-old woman with small bowel perforation as a consequence of migrated esophageal stent showed the symptoms of abdominal pain with abdominal distension [32]. Similar results were presented by Shashank Nahar et al., where they found abdominal pain was 90 (100%) and abdominal distension was 85 (94.44%) [33]. Here we can state that abdominal pain and abdominal distension are the most prominent clinical signs for small intestinal perforation.

In the current study, tenderness, rigidity/guarding, and all the study participants presented obliteration of liver. Similar results were obtained from the study conducted by Shashank Nahar et al., where tenderness was 100%, rigidity was 100%, and obliteration of the liver was 61.11% [33]. In another study, tenderness was 100% guarding was 58.18% and obliteration of the liver was 40.90% [34]. In the present study, Duodenal ulcer perforation was the most common post-op- diagnosis. A study conducted by it found that 28 cases of perforations in 17 cases were of DU perforations [35]. The limitation of the current study was the smaller sample size. We recommend further research with a larger sample size.

## **Conclusion:**

The results of the present study show that commonest cause of small intestine perforation was peptic ulcer. There was predominance of male population. Abdominal pain and abdominal distension were common clinical features while air under the diaphragm was present in all patients. DUP was found to be most common post operative diagnosis.

## References

- 1. Hafner J, Tuma F, Hoilat GJ, Marar O. Intestinal Perforation. 2021 Aug 13. In: StatPearls [Internet]. Treasure Island (FL): StatPearls Publishing; 2021
- 2. Gupta SK, Kumar Gupta S, Gupta R, Singh G, Gupta S. Perforation Peritonitis: A Two Year Experience. Jk Sci. 2010;12:141.
- 3. Saber M. Small bowel perforation [Internet]. Radiopaedia.org. 2021.[Cited 12 Dec 2021]. available from: https://radiopaedia.org/articles/86544
- 4. Rajagopalan AE. Free Perforation of the Small Intestine. Ann Surg. 1982;196:576-579.

- 5. Biffl WL, Leppaniemi A. Management guidelines for penetrating abdominal trauma. World J Surg. 2015;39:1373-1380.
- 6. Song WC, Lv WW, Gao XZ. Iatrogenic Gastrointestinal Perforation Following Therapeutic Endoscopic Procedures: Management and Outcome. J Coll Physicians Surg. 2017;27:563-565.
- 7. Špičák J, Kučera M, Suchánková G. Diverticular disease: diagnosis and treatment. Vnitr Lek. 2018;64:621-634.
- 8. Pavlović-Calić N. [Ulcerative colitis and Crohn's disease]. Med Arh. 2003;57:85-86.
- 9. Grotelüschen R, Bergmann W, Welte MN, Reeh M, Izbicki JR, Bachmann K. What predicts the outcome in patients with intestinal ischemia? A single center experience. J Visc Surg. 2019;156:405-411.
- Long B, Robertson J, Koyfman A. Emergency Medicine Evaluation and Management of Small Bowel Obstruction: Evidence-Based Recommendations. J Emerg Med. 2019;56:166-176.
- 11. Kavitt RT, Lipowska AM, Anyane-Yeboa A, Gralnek IM. Diagnosis and Treatment of Peptic Ulcer Disease. Am J Med. 2019;132:447-456.
- 12. Zhang L, Wang H. [Imaging characteristics of gastrointestinal neoplastic acute abdomen]. Zhonghua Wei Chang Wai Ke Za Zhi. 2018;21:1223-1229.
- 13. Ramakrishnan K, Salinas RC. Peptic ulcer disease. Am Fam Physician. 2007;76:1005-1012.
- 14. Ersumo T, W/Meskel Y, Kotisso B. Perforated peptic ulcer in Tikur Anbessa Hospital: a review of 74 cases. Ethiop Med J. 2005;43:9-13.
- 15. Simmen HP, Heinzelmann M, Largiadèr F. Peritonitis: Classification and Causes. Dig Surg. 1996;13(4-5):381-383.
- 16. Rondonotti E, Spada C, Adler S, May A, Despott EJ, Koulaouzidis A, et al. Small-bowel capsule endoscopy and device-assisted enteroscopy for diagnosis and treatment of small-bowel disorders: European Society of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy (ESGE) Technical Review. Endoscopy. 2018;50(4):423-446.
- 17. Bosscha K, van Vroonhoven TJ, van der Werken C. Surgical management of severe secondary peritonitis. Br J Surg. 1999;86(11):1371-1377.
- 18. Sharma L, Gupta S, Soin AS, Sikora S, Kapoor

- V. Generalized peritonitis in India--the tropical spectrum. Jpn J Surg. 1991;21:272-277.
- 19. Bose SM, Kumar A, Chaudhary A, Dhara I, Gupta NM, Khanna SK. Factors affecting mortality in small intestinal perforation. Indian J Gastroenterol. 1986;5:261-263.
- 20. Nadkarni KM, Shetty SD, Kagzi RS, Pinto AC, Bhalerao RA. Small-bowel perforations. A study of 32 cases. Arch Surg. 1981;116:53-57.
- 21. Kallely MF, Panchabhai S V., Nichkaode PB, Rayani HP, Ravi Teja JC, Patil DA. Perforation peritonitis: a clinical profile and management. Sri Lanka J Surg. 2020;38(1):10.
- 22. Somani K, Vashistha R, Datey S, Gurjar A, Patel A. An observational study of clinical profile and management of non-traumatic small bowel perforation at tertiary care centre. J Evol Med Dent Sci. 2018;7(32):3581-3585.
- 23. BDSS Corp. Released 2020. coGuide Statistics software, Version 1.0, India: BDSS corp. Available from: https://www.coguide.in [Last accessed on 2021 Dec 24].
- 24. Garwood RA, Sawyer MD, Ledesma EJ, Foley E, Claridge JA. A case and review of bowel perforation secondary to metastatic lung cancer. Am Surg. 2005;71:110-116.
- 25. Vaidya R, Habermann TM, Donohue JH, Ristow KM, Maurer MJ, Macon WR, et al. Bowel perforation in intestinal lymphoma: incidence and clinical features. Ann Oncol Off J Eur Soc Med Oncol. 2013;24:2439-2443.
- 26. Utaal MS, Bali S, Batra P, Garg N. Clinical profile in cases of intestinal perforation. Int Surg J. 2017;4:1002.
- 27. Kallely MF, Panchabhai S V., Nichkaode PB, Rayani HP, Ravi Teja JC, Patil DA. Perforation peritonitis: a clinical profile and management. Sri Lanka J Surg. 2020;38:10–7.
- 28. Somani K, Vashistha R, Datey S, Gurjar A, Patel A. An observational study of clinical profile and management of non-traumatic small bowel perforation at tertiary care centre. J Evol Med Dent Sci. 2018;7:3581-3585.
- 29. Malhotra MK, Singal R, Chowdhary K, Sharma RG, Sharma S, Dhankhar A. Spectrum of Perforation Peritonitis in a Rural Medical College. Bangladesh J Med Sci. 2016;15:70-73.
- 30. Kronzer VL, Larson DP, Crowson CS, Warrington KJ, Ytterberg SR, Makol A, et al.

- Occurrence and aetiology of gastrointestinal perforation in patients with vasculitis. Clin Exp Rheumatol. 2019;37 Suppl 1:32-39.
- 31. De Palma GD, Masone S, Persico M, Siciliano S, Salvatori F, Maione F, et al. Capsule impaction presenting as acute small bowel perforation: a case series. J Med Case Rep. 2012;6:121.
- 32. Karagul S, Yagci MA, Ara C, Tardu A, Ertugrul I, Kirmizi S et al. Small bowel perforation due to a migrated esophageal stent: Report of a rare case and review of the literature. Int J Surg Case Rep. 2015;11:113-116.
- 33. Shashank Nahar AR. Observational study of small bowel perforation in a tertiary care hospital. Int Surg J. 2017;8:2746-2750.
- 34. Mala. TA, Younus. DU, Ahmad. SR, Malla. SA. Prospective study of spontaneous small bowel perforation in a tertiary care hospital. East African Sch J Med Surg. 2019;1:13-15.
- 35. Navaneethan, G.P., T.Thivagar SJJ. Acute Abdomen – A Clinical cross-sectional study of six consecutively operated cases. J Adv Med Dent Sci Res. 2018;6:141-145

**Table1: Summary of baseline parameter (N=30)** 

Parameter	Summary
Age (in years)	43.1 ± 11.11 (ranged 25 to 65)
Gender	
Male	26 (86.67%)
Female	4 (13.33%)
History	L Commence of the commence of
Alcohol consumption	15 (50%)
Smoking	7 (23.33%)
NSAID use	19 (63.33%)
History of peptic ulcer disease	25 (83.33%)
Pain	30 (100%)
Duration pain (in hours)	8.83 ± 4.09 (ranged 2 to 18)
Symptoms	
Distension	29 (96.67%)
Vomiting	12 (40.00%)
Constipation/ Diarrhoea	3 (10.00%)
Fever	11 (36.67%)
Sign	
Pulse rate (Beats/min)	100.43 ± 14.47 (ranged 72 to 128)
Systolic blood pressure (mmhg)	98.67 ± 10.08 (ranged 80 to 120)
Diastolic blood pressure (mmhg)	60.33 ± 9.64 (ranged 40 to 90)

Table 2: Summary of other parameters (N=30)

Palpation		
5.1.1.1		
Dehydration	30(100%)	
Tenderness	30(100%)	
Guarding/rigidity		
+	21(70%)	
++	9(30%)	
Obliteration of liver dullness	30(100%)	
B. sounds		
+	4(13.33%)	
-	26(86.67%)	
Free fluid		
+	6(20%)	
-	24(80%)	
Investigation		
Total Count (in / µL)	11667.6 ± 3830.48(range 6800 to 18230)	
Haemoglobin (g/dl)	10.17 ± 1.58(range 7 to 14)	
X-erect abdomen - GUD	30(100%)	
Post – Op diagnosis		
20 CM FRO ICJ	1(3.33%)	
10 CM FROM ICJ	1(3.33%)	
12 CM FROM ICJ	1(3.33%)	
15 cm from ICJ	1(3.33%)	
25 CM FROM ICJ	1(3.33%)	
Duodenal Ulcer Perforation	25(83.33%)	
Surgery		
Size of perforation (in cm)	$1.17 \pm 0.61$ (range 0.50 to 2.50)	
Procedure (COP+OP)	30(100%)	
Date of discharge (in days) (Post op day)	12.53 ± 1.85(range 10 to 17)	