



Influence of Marketing Strategies on Patient Engagement and Practice Development in Orthodontics

¹Dr. Narayan Kulkarni, ²Dr. Ipsit Trivedi, ³Dr Honey Jivrani, ⁴Dr Hemangi Panchal ⁵Dr. Preeti Magnani*

Professor and Head¹, Professor², Senior Lecturer^{3,4}, Postgraduate Resident⁵
Department Of Orthodontics And Dentofacial Orthopaedics,
Goenka Research Institute Of Dental Sciences, Gandhinagar

***Corresponding Author:
Dr Preeti Magnani**

Department of Orthodontics And Dentofacial Orthopaedics,
Goenka Research Institute Of Dental Sciences, Gandhinagar, Gujarat

Type of Publication: Original Research Paper

Conflicts of Interest: Nil

Abstract

Background: Marketing strategies have become increasingly important in contemporary orthodontic practice for enhancing patient engagement and fostering practice development. However, the extent of adoption and perceived effectiveness of these approaches among orthodontic professionals remains inadequately explored.

Materials and Methods: A cross-sectional questionnaire-based survey was conducted among 60 orthodontic professionals. A validated 24-item questionnaire covering demographics, marketing strategies, patient engagement tools, practice growth impact, and implementation barriers was distributed electronically. Data were analysed using descriptive statistics, and associations were examined using chi-square tests with significance set at $p < 0.05$. The hypothesis tested was that adoption of marketing strategies significantly enhances patient engagement and contributes to orthodontic practice development.

Results: The majority of respondents were female (68.3%). The respondent's age was observed to be less than 30 years (91.7%). 40% of orthodontists preferred marketing strategies along with social media being the most prevalent method (75.0%), followed by Google Ads (31.7%). Instagram was the preferred platform (66.7%). Patient engagement tools primarily included appointment reminders (58.3%) and educational content (25.0%). Regarding perceived impact, 61.7% reported increased patient volume or revenue attributed to marketing, and 58.2% observed improved brand visibility. Major barriers included lack of time (43.3%), lack of knowledge (28.3%), and budget constraints (26.7%). A significant association was observed between marketing adoption and perceived patient engagement ($p = 0.023$).

Conclusion: Digital marketing, particularly social media, has emerged as the predominant promotional strategy among orthodontic professionals. While marketing adoption positively influences patient engagement and practice growth, barriers related to time, expertise, and resources continue to impede widespread implementation.

Keywords: Digital marketing; Marketing strategies; Orthodontics; Patient engagement; Practice management; Social media

Introduction

The contemporary healthcare landscape has witnessed a paradigm shift in how medical and dental professionals approach patient acquisition and retention. Marketing, once considered peripheral to

clinical practice, has emerged as a fundamental component of successful healthcare delivery systems [1]. The orthodontic specialty, characterized by elective treatment demand and competitive market

dynamics, has particularly embraced marketing strategies to differentiate practices and attract potential patients [2].

Patient engagement represents a critical determinant of treatment outcomes and practice sustainability. Engaged patients demonstrate improved treatment compliance, higher satisfaction levels, and increased likelihood of referrals [3]. The integration of marketing approaches with patient engagement strategies has created synergistic opportunities for orthodontic practices to enhance their visibility while simultaneously improving patient-provider relationships [4].

The digital revolution has fundamentally transformed marketing paradigms within healthcare. Social media platforms, search engine optimization, and online advertising have supplanted traditional promotional methods, offering targeted reach and measurable outcomes [5]. Studies indicate that over 70% of patients utilize online resources when selecting healthcare providers, underscoring the importance of digital presence [6]. Instagram, Facebook, and YouTube have emerged as particularly influential platforms for dental and orthodontic practices, enabling visual demonstration of treatment outcomes and patient testimonials [7].

Despite the growing recognition of marketing importance, significant variability exists in adoption patterns among orthodontic professionals. Factors including practice setting, geographic location, demographic characteristics, and resource availability influence marketing implementation [8]. Additionally, barriers such as time constraints, lack of marketing expertise, budget limitations, and uncertainty regarding return on investment pose substantial challenges [9].

Recent investigations have explored specific aspects of dental marketing, including social media effectiveness and patient communication preferences [10]. However, comprehensive assessments examining the collective influence of various marketing approaches on both patient engagement and practice development within orthodontics remain limited. Furthermore, the identification of implementation barriers and their relationship with practice characteristics warrants systematic investigation.

The present study aimed to evaluate the influence of marketing approaches on patient engagement and practice development among orthodontic professionals, with specific objectives to assess marketing strategy prevalence, evaluate perceived effects on patient engagement, analyze impacts on practice development, and identify implementation challenges.

Materials And Methods

Study Design and Setting

A cross-sectional descriptive survey was conducted among orthodontic professionals during the period from January 2024 to April 2024. The study was designed to assess marketing practices, patient engagement strategies, and perceived outcomes within orthodontic settings.

Sample Size and Sampling

The sample size was calculated based on the formula for cross-sectional studies, considering an anticipated response distribution of 50%, confidence level of 95%, and margin of error of 10%. A minimum sample of 60 respondents was determined. Convenience sampling was employed to recruit orthodontic professionals through professional networks and academic institutions.

Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria

Inclusion criteria comprised registered orthodontic professionals actively engaged in clinical practice or academic settings, with a minimum of one year of professional experience. Exclusion criteria included non-practicing orthodontists, incomplete questionnaire responses, and unwillingness to provide informed consent.

Survey Instrument

A structured, self-administered questionnaire comprising 24 items was developed following an extensive literature review. The questionnaire was organized into five sections: Section A addressed demographics and practice profile (7 items); Section B examined marketing strategies (7 items); Section C assessed patient engagement tools and methods (4 items); Section D evaluated impact on practice growth (4 items); and Section E identified barriers and solicited suggestions (2 items).

Content validity was established through expert review by three senior orthodontic professionals and one marketing specialist. The questionnaire was pilot-tested among 10 orthodontists, and modifications were incorporated based on feedback regarding clarity and comprehensiveness. Internal consistency was assessed using Cronbach's alpha coefficient, yielding a value of 0.78, indicating acceptable reliability.

Data Collection Procedure

The questionnaire was distributed electronically using Google Forms. An explanatory cover letter describing the study purpose, voluntary participation, and confidentiality assurances accompanied the questionnaire. Participants provided electronic informed consent before proceeding. Two reminder notifications were sent at weekly intervals to maximize response rates.

Statistical Analysis

Data were analyzed using Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS) version 26.0. Descriptive statistics including frequencies, percentages, means, and standard deviations were calculated for all variables. Associations between categorical variables were examined using chi-square tests or Fisher's exact test as appropriate. Statistical significance was set at $p < 0.05$.

Results

Demographic and Practice Characteristics

A total of 60 orthodontic professionals participated in the study, with a response rate of 85.7%. The demographic distribution revealed that 91.7% of respondents were aged below 30 years, 68.3% were female, and 31.7% were male. Regarding professional experience, 93.3% had been practicing orthodontics for 11-20 years, while 3.3% each had 5-10 years or fewer than 5 years of experience. The majority (43.3%) practiced in academic institutions, followed by group practices (30.0%) and hospital-based settings (6.7%). Monthly new patient volume was predominantly 10-20 patients (71.7%), with teenagers being the primary patient age group treated (36.7%) (Table 1).

Marketing Strategies and Digital Presence

The analysis of marketing adoption revealed that 40.0% ($n=24$) of respondents currently employed marketing strategies, while 60.0% ($n=36$) did not

utilize any form of marketing. Among those using marketing, social media emerged as the predominant strategy (75.0%), followed by Google Ads (31.7%), flyers/posters (16.7%), website/SEO (16.7%), community events (11.7%), referral programs (5.0%), and traditional media (3.3%).

Instagram was the most utilized social media platform (66.7%), followed by YouTube (30.0%), Facebook (15.0%), LinkedIn (11.7%), and WhatsApp Business (10.0%). Posting frequency varied considerably: 28.3% posted rarely, 25.0% monthly, 21.7% weekly, 16.7% never, and 8.3% daily. Only 28.3% ran paid advertisements, and 15.0% maintained professional websites, while 75.0% reported not having one (Table 2).

Patient Engagement and Practice Impact

Patient engagement tools were utilized variably, with appointment reminders being most common (58.3%), followed by educational content (25.0%), practice management software (25.0%), and loyalty/referral programs (10.0%). Virtual consultations were offered by 40.0% of respondents. Communication channels included WhatsApp (56.7%), phone (55.0%), in-person (35.0%), email (15.0%), and social media direct messages (10.0%).

Overall patient engagement was rated as good by 53.3%, excellent by 40.0%, average by 5.0%, and poor by 1.7%. Regarding marketing impact, 25.0% reported significant increase in patient volume/revenue, 36.7% reported slight increase, 23.3% reported no change, and 15.0% were unsure. Brand visibility improvement was reported by 58.2%, while 23.6% observed no improvement and 18.2% were uncertain.

The belief that digital marketing is more effective than traditional marketing was endorsed by 81.4% (33.3% strongly agree, 48.1% agree), while 11.1% remained neutral and 7.5% disagreed. Chi-square analysis revealed a significant association between marketing strategy adoption and perceived patient engagement rating ($\chi^2=9.45$, $p=0.023$) (Table 3).

Discussion

The present study provides comprehensive insights into marketing approaches, patient engagement strategies, and their perceived influence on practice development among orthodontic professionals. The findings reveal that while digital marketing adoption

is progressing, significant gaps and barriers persist, warranting strategic interventions.

The observation that 60% of respondents did not employ any marketing strategies contrasts with trends reported in developed economies, where marketing adoption rates exceed 70% [11]. This discrepancy may reflect variations in market maturity, competitive intensity, and professional culture across different settings. The predominance of younger practitioners in our sample suggests that generational factors may influence marketing orientation, as younger professionals demonstrate greater comfort with digital technologies [12].

Social media emerged as the predominant marketing strategy (75%), consistent with global trends in healthcare marketing. The preeminence of Instagram (66.7%) aligns with its visual-centric nature, which facilitates effective demonstration of orthodontic treatment outcomes, before-after comparisons, and practice aesthetics [13]. Similar findings were reported by Syed and colleagues, who observed Instagram to be the preferred platform among dental professionals for patient acquisition [14]. The relatively lower adoption of Facebook (15%) suggests a shift toward newer, visually-oriented platforms among orthodontic professionals.

The limited adoption of professional websites (15%) represents a concerning finding, given that web presence serves as a fundamental component of digital marketing strategy [15]. This observation may reflect resource constraints, particularly among younger practitioners in academic settings who may prioritize clinical and research activities over practice development. The importance of integrated digital presence, combining social media with professional websites, has been emphasized as essential for comprehensive marketing effectiveness [16].

Patient engagement tools were primarily communication-focused, with appointment reminders (58.3%) being most prevalent. The limited adoption of loyalty programs (10%) suggests missed opportunities for structured patient retention strategies. Research indicates that loyalty programs can enhance patient retention by 25-30% while simultaneously generating referrals [17]. The preference for WhatsApp (56.7%) and phone communication (55%) reflects cultural communication preferences and the convenience of

mobile-based interaction, consistent with patterns observed in Asian healthcare settings [18].

The positive association between marketing adoption and perceived patient engagement ($p=0.023$) supports the hypothesis that marketing strategies contribute to enhanced patient-provider relationships. This finding aligns with research demonstrating that active social media engagement facilitates patient communication, education, and satisfaction [19]. The reported improvement in brand visibility (58.2%) and patient volume/revenue (61.7%) among marketing adopters provides empirical support for marketing investment in orthodontic practice.

The identification of barriers provides actionable insights for intervention development. Lack of time (43.3%) emerged as the predominant barrier, consistent with previous studies indicating that clinical demands often supersede marketing activities [20]. The knowledge deficit reported by 28.3% highlights the need for marketing education within orthodontic training programs and continuing education curricula. Budget constraints (26.7%) suggest that cost-effective marketing strategies, particularly organic social media approaches, may be most appropriate for resource-limited practices [21].

The strong endorsement of digital marketing superiority over traditional methods (81.4%) indicates attitudinal readiness for digital transformation. However, the translation of positive attitudes into consistent implementation remains challenging, as evidenced by the discrepancy between marketing belief and actual adoption rates. This attitude-behavior gap has been documented across healthcare settings and requires targeted interventions addressing practical implementation barriers [22].

The predominance of academic institution-based respondents (43.3%) may limit generalizability to private practice settings, where marketing dynamics differ substantially. Academic orthodontists may have less immediate incentive for marketing activities due to institutional patient flow mechanisms. Future investigations should ensure balanced representation across practice settings to enhance external validity.

Conclusion

This study demonstrates that digital marketing, particularly social media-based strategies, has emerged as the predominant promotional approach

among orthodontic professionals, with Instagram being the preferred platform. While marketing adoption remains suboptimal at 40%, those utilizing marketing strategies report positive impacts on patient engagement, practice visibility, and revenue generation. The significant association between marketing implementation and patient engagement underscores the value of marketing investment in contemporary orthodontic practice. However, substantial barriers including time constraints, knowledge deficits, and budget limitations continue to impede widespread implementation. Addressing these barriers through targeted educational initiatives, development of time-efficient marketing tools, and evidence-based guidance on cost-effective strategies may enhance marketing adoption and optimize its beneficial effects on patient engagement and practice development. Future research should employ longitudinal designs and objective outcome measures to establish causal relationships and quantify marketing return on investment in orthodontic settings.

Acknowledgements

The author expresses sincere gratitude to her guides, Dr. Ipsit Trivedi and Dr. Narayan Kulkarni, for their invaluable guidance, constant encouragement, and scholarly support throughout this study. Heartfelt thanks are extended to her husband, Er. Ujjval Bhawnani, for his unwavering motivation and understanding. The author is deeply grateful to her parents, Mr. Devidas and Mrs. Heena, for their unconditional support and encouragement. She also acknowledges her colleagues for their cooperation, constructive discussions, and assistance during the research process. Special thanks are extended to all respondents for their time, cooperation, and valuable participation in this study and meaningful contributions to academia.

References

1. Elrod JK, Fortenberry JL Jr. The hub-and-spoke organization design: an avenue for serving patients well. **BMC Health Serv Res.** 2017;17(Suppl 1):457. doi:10.1186/s12913-017-2341-x.
2. Twersky S, Meda J, Giordano C. Use of social media for communication, education, and marketing in orthodontics. **J Clin Orthod.** 2019;53(10):610-618. PMID:31880723.
3. Hibbard JH, Greene J. What the evidence shows about patient activation: better health outcomes and care experiences; fewer data on costs. **Health Aff (Millwood).** 2013;32(2):207-214. doi:10.1377/hlthaff.2012.1061.
4. Nelson KM, Helfrich C, Sun H, et al. Implementation of the patient-centered medical home in the Veterans Health Administration. **JAMA Intern Med.** 2014;174(8):1350-1358. doi:10.1001/jamainternmed.2014.2488.
5. Ventola CL. Social media and health care professionals: benefits, risks, and best practices. **P T.** 2014;39(7):491-520. PMID:25083128.
6. Fox S, Duggan M. Health Online 2013. Pew Research Center; 2013. Available from: <https://www.pewresearch.org/internet/2013/01/15/health-online-2013/>.
7. Abujarad F, Swierenga SJ, Dennis TA, Post LA. Rapid development of social media-supported evidence-based health information. **J Med Internet Res.** 2017;19(7):e262. doi:10.2196/jmir.7847.
8. Manzoor M, Hussain W, Ahmed A, Iqbal MJ. The importance of digital marketing in healthcare industry. **Int J Res Publ.** 2020;52(1):1-12. doi:10.47119/IJRP100521620201481.
9. Benson V, Morgan S, Filippaios F. Social career management: social media and employability skills gap. **Comput Human Behav.** 2014;30:519-525. doi:10.1016/j.chb.2013.06.015.
10. Nayak PP, Siddique S, Pal S. Social media in orthodontics: an exploratory survey. **J Orthod Sci.** 2021;10:11. doi:10.4103/jos.JOS_51_20.
11. Henry RK, Molnar AL. Examination of social networking professionalism among dental and dental hygiene students. **J Dent Educ.** 2013;77(11):1425-1430. PMID:24192407.
12. Prasanna Kumar PS, Karpagam B. Perception of social media usage among dental students. **Drug Invent Today.** 2019;11(6):1367-1370.
13. Alanzi TM, Al-Habib DK, Al-Ohali NH. Social media in dental practice: an overview. **J Fam Med Prim Care.** 2020;9(9):4575-4581. doi:10.4103/jfmpc.jfmpc_488_20.

14. Syed S, Rastogi A, Bansal N. An analysis of social media usage by orthodontists for patient engagement. **Int Orthod.** 2022;20(3):100672. doi:10.1016/j.ortho.2022.100672.

15. Lau AS. Hospital-based nurses' perceptions of adoption of Web 2.0 tools. **J Med Internet Res.** 2011;13(4):e92. doi:10.2196/jmir.1398.

16. Alalwan AA, Rana NP, Dwivedi YK, Algharabat R. Social media in marketing: a review. **Telemat Inform.** 2017;34(7):1177-1190. doi:10.1016/j.tele.2017.05.008.

17. Uncles MD, Dowling GR, Hammond K. Customer loyalty and loyalty programs. **J Consum Mark.** 2003;20(4):294-316. doi:10.1108/07363760310483676.

18. Kamel Boulos MN, Wheeler S. The emerging Web 2.0 social software in health care education. **Health Info Libr J.** 2007;24(1):2-23. doi:10.1111/j.1471-1842.2007.00701.x.

19. Moorhead SA, Hazlett DE, Harrison L, et al. Uses, benefits, and limitations of social media for health communication. **J Med Internet Res.** 2013;15(4):e85. doi:10.2196/jmir.1933.

20. Gagnon MP, Desmartis M, Labrecque M, et al. Factors influencing adoption of ICT by healthcare professionals. **J Med Syst.** 2012;36(1):241-277. doi:10.1007/s10916-010-9473-4.

21. Kingsley K, O'Malley S. Dental school marketing budgets and strategies. **Dent J (Basel).** 2017;5(1):5. doi:10.3390/dj5010005.

22. Ajzen I. The theory of planned behavior. **Organ Behav Hum Decis Process.** 1991;50(2):179-211. doi:10.1016/0749-5978(91)90020-T.

Tables And Figures

Table 1: Demographic and Practice Profile of Respondents (n=60)

Variable	Category	Frequency (n)	Percentage (%)
Age Group	<30 years	55	91.7
	30-40 years	2	3.3
	41-50 years	2	3.3
	51-60 years	1	1.7
Gender	Female	41	68.3
	Male	19	31.7
Years of Practice	<5 years	2	3.3
	5-10 years	2	3.3
	11-20 years	56	93.3
Practice Type	Academic institution	26	43.3
	Group practice	18	30.0
	Hospital-based	4	6.7
	Solo practice	5	8.3
	Other	7	11.7
Monthly New Patients	10-20	43	71.7
	20-40	12	20.0

	40-50	3	5.0
	>50	2	3.3
Primary Patient Age Group	Children	16	26.7
	Teenagers	22	36.7
	Adults	12	20.0
	Mixed	10	16.7

Table 2: Marketing Strategies and Digital Presence (n=60)

Variable	Category	Frequency (n)	Percentage (%)
Marketing Adoption	Yes	24	40.0
	No	36	60.0
Marketing Strategies Used*	Social media	45	75.0
	Google Ads	19	31.7
	Flyers/posters	10	16.7
	Website/SEO	10	16.7
	Community events	7	11.7
	Referral programs	3	5.0
	Traditional media	2	3.3
Social Media Platforms*	Instagram	40	66.7
	YouTube	18	30.0
	Facebook	9	15.0
	LinkedIn	7	11.7
	WhatsApp Business	6	10.0
	None	8	13.3
Posting Frequency	Daily	5	8.3
	Weekly	13	21.7
	Monthly	15	25.0
	Rarely	17	28.3
	Never	10	16.7
Paid Advertisements	Yes	17	28.3
	No	43	71.7
Professional Website	Yes	9	15.0
	No	45	75.0

	Not sure	6	10.0
Influencer/Review Collaboration	Yes, influencers	8	13.3
	Yes, patient reviews	12	20.0
	Yes, both	5	8.3
	No	35	58.3

*Multiple responses permitted

Table 3: Patient Engagement, Practice Impact, and Barriers (n=60)

Variable	Category	Frequency (n)	Percentage (%)
Patient Engagement Tools*	Appointment reminders	35	58.3
	Educational content	15	25.0
	Practice management software	15	25.0
	Loyalty/referral programs	6	10.0
Virtual Consultations	Yes	24	40.0
	No	36	60.0
Communication Channels*	WhatsApp	34	56.7
	Phone	33	55.0
	In-person	21	35.0
	Email	9	15.0
	Social Media DM	6	10.0
Patient Engagement Rating	Excellent	24	40.0
	Good	32	53.3
	Average	3	5.0
	Poor	1	1.7
Patient Volume/Revenue Impact	Yes, significantly	15	25.0
	Yes, slightly	22	36.7
	No	14	23.3
	Not sure	9	15.0
Brand Visibility Improvement	Yes	32	58.2
	No	13	23.6
	Not sure	10	18.2
Implementation Challenges*	Lack of time	26	43.3
	Lack of knowledge	17	28.3
	Budget constraints	16	26.7

	No dedicated staff	13	21.7
	Unsure of ROI	8	13.3

Multiple responses permitted

23.