(International Print/Online Journal)

SJIF IMPACT FACTOR: 6.829
PUBMED-National Library of Medicine ID-101739732

ISSN (Print): 2209-2870
ISSN (Online): 2209-2862

IJMSCR

International Journal of Medical Science and Current Research (IJMSCR)
Available online at: www.ijmscr.com
Volume 8, Issue 6 , Page No: 387-392
November-December 2025

Low-Field MRI Technology: Enhancing Accessibility While Maintaining Diagnostic Value

Mr. Vikas Kumar, Mr. Rajdeep Thidwar[2,] Miss. Piyush Yadav[3]
[2]Associate Professor, [1,3]Assistant Professor,
Department of Radiology & Imaging Technology,
Guru Nanak Paramedical College, Dhahan- Kaleran, SBS Nagar (PB)

***Corresponding Author:**
Mr. Vikas Kumar
Assistant Professor, Guru Nanak Paramedical College, Dhahan- Kaleran, SBS Nagar (PB)

Type of Publication: Original Research Paper
Conflicts of Interest: Nil

Abstract

Low-field magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) has evolved as a more affordable and accessible alternative to high-field MRI equipment, especially in resource-constrained environments. Despite the constraints of lower signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) and resolution, advances in hardware, software, and imaging methods have considerably increased low-field MRI's diagnostic value. This study looks at the historical evolution, technological breakthroughs, clinical uses, and future prospects for low-field MRI devices. This article examines current research and technical advancements to demonstrate how low-field MRI improves healthcare accessibility while preserving diagnostic value.

Keywords: Low-field MRI; Accessibility; Diagnostic value; Healthcare innovation; Imaging technology

Introduction

Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI) has long been considered a cornerstone of medical imaging, providing unparalleled views into the architecture and function of the human body. While high-field MRI systems (usually 1.5T or more) dominate clinical practice because to their better image quality and signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) [1], low-field MRI systems (with field strengths less than 1T) are gaining popularity in some applications. Recent technology improvements and increased demand for low-cost, accessible imaging solutions have fuelled innovation in low-field MRI, opening the door to a wider range of options.

Low-field MRI systems, formerly seen as inferior due to their reduced spatial resolution and lengthier scan periods, are now being reconsidered with new eyes. They are especially useful in resource-constrained environments, transportable imaging, and specific applications such as imaging the lungs, joints, or pediatric patients, where high-field systems may not be suitable. Their affordability, mobility, and low operational expenses make them a viable tool for increasing healthcare access in disadvantaged areas [2].

In addition, the performance of low-field MRI systems has been greatly enhanced by the creation of sophisticated algorithms for image reconstruction, noise reduction, and artificial intelligence integration. Traditional restrictions are lessened by these developments, increasing their viability for use in research and clinical settings. Low-field MRI devices are revolutionizing diagnostic imaging, from bedside imaging in intensive care units to surgical scanning and rural healthcare delivery [3].

We discuss low-field MRI's principles, advantages, limits, and forthcoming advances, highlighting its potential to complement high-field systems and change diagnostic imaging.

2. Historical Background

In the 1970s and 1980s, the early clinical MRI machines ran on weak magnetic fields. The desire for better picture quality and quicker imaging periods drove the switch to high-field MRI systems, despite the fact that they offered insightful diagnostic information. Recent developments in imaging technology, falling hardware prices, and the worldwide movement for universal access to healthcare have all contributed to a renewed interest in low-field MRI [4].

3. Materials

3.1 Database Sources:

1. PubMed, Scopus, IEEE Xplore, Google Scholar, and other academic databases.
2. Journals in radiology, medical physics, and healthcare innovation.

3.2 Technological Focus:

Permanent magnets, sophisticated RF coil designs, and portable devices are examples of hardware improvements. Compressed sensing, denoising, and quantitative imaging procedures were among the software tools that were reviewed.

4. Clinical Studies

Studies on neurology, musculoskeletal imaging, pediatric imaging, and rural healthcare were reviewed.

5. Methods

5.1 Data Collection:

a) A systematic review methodology was employed, identifying relevant studies published between 2000 and 2024.

b) Articles were screened for relevance based on title, abstract, and full-text review.

c) Data on diagnostic accuracy, cost-effectiveness, and accessibility were extracted.

5.2 Analysis:

i) Studies were categorized based on technological advancements, clinical applications, and healthcare impact.

ii) Comparative analysis was performed to evaluate the diagnostic performance of low-field MRI against high-field systems.

5.3 Evaluation: Emphasis was placed on studies reporting quantitative outcomes such as sensitivity, specificity, and cost-benefit ratios.

6. Technological Advancements in Low-Field MRI

6.1 Hardware Innovations

1. Permanent Magnets: Modern low-field MRI systems use lightweight, compact permanent magnets, which reduce installation costs and complexity as compared to superconducting magnets in high-field systems.

2. Coil Design: Advanced radiofrequency (RF) coil designs have enhanced signal reception, reducing SNR restrictions in low-field systems.

3. Portable Systems: The development of portable and point-of-care low-field MRI equipment has made imaging possible in distant and emergency situations [6].

6.2 Software and Imaging Techniques

Image Reconstruction techniques: Advanced techniques like compressed sensing and deep learning improve picture quality while reducing acquisition times.

Noise Reduction: Improved denoising algorithms compensate for poor SNR in low-field MRI devices.

Quantitative imaging: Techniques like T1 and T2 mapping have improved low-field systems' diagnostic capabilities [7].

7. Clinical Applications of Low-Field MRI

1. Neurology: Low-field MRI is useful for detecting significant structural abnormalities such hydrocephalus, brain tumors, and strokes. While it may not be as sharp as high-field systems for small details, it is adequate for first diagnostic assessments.

2. Musculoskeletal Imaging: Low-field MRI devices have shown sufficient diagnostic accuracy in evaluating joint disorders such as ligament tears, cartilage degradation, and osteoarthritis. Portable systems are especially useful in sports medicine and outdoor applications.

Volume 8, Issue 6; November-December 2025; Page No 387-392
© 2025 IJMSCR. All Rights Reserved

3. Paediatric Imaging: Reduced radiofrequency energy deposition makes low-field MRI safer for pediatric patients by lowering the danger of tissue heating.
4. Rural and Resource-Limited Settings: Low-field MRI's portability and cost-effectiveness make it an ideal solution for imaging in underserved regions where high-field systems are not feasible.

8. Result

One of the most transformational uses of low-field MRI technology is in rural and resource-constrained areas, where access to modern diagnostic imaging has historically been difficult. Low-field MRI has emerged as a viable option because to its low cost, mobility, and operational simplicity. Here, we investigate the outcomes and benefits of applying low-field MRI in such contexts:

Diagnostic Accessibility
Low-field MRI devices provide critical diagnostic capabilities in areas where high-field MRI systems are prohibitively expensive, have limited infrastructure, or pose logistical issues. According to studies, low-field MRI has diagnostic accuracy rates of 80-90% for common illnesses such as traumatic injuries, musculoskeletal problems, and hydrocephalus, making it an effective tool for first examinations [8]. For example:

Neurology: Low-field MRI devices have been used successfully to identify strokes and brain injuries, allowing for early therapies that would otherwise be delayed in remote institutions.
Musculoskeletal Imaging: Portable devices have shown successful for examining bone fractures, ligament injuries, and joint degeneration in resource-constrained locations, particularly in places where trauma is common owing to occupational risks.

Portability and deployment
Portable low-field MRI devices weighing as little as 100-300 kg have been employed in mobile units and community health clinics, considerably increasing the availability of diagnostic imaging services. Examples include:

Mobile Health Units: Initiatives in India, Brazil, and Sub-Saharan Africa have combined portable low-field MRI devices with mobile health units to provide diagnostic imaging to populations in distant areas.
Disaster Response: Low-field MRI equipment have been used to examine trauma and injuries in disaster-stricken locations when traditional healthcare infrastructure is destroyed.

Cost-Effectiveness
The economic benefits of low-field MRI are particularly apparent in resource-constrained areas.

Lower Equipment Costs: Low-field MRI systems range in price from $50,000 to $300,000, substantially less than high-field systems, which may cost more than $1.5 million.
lowered Operating Costs: Because low-field MRI systems do not require cryogens or complex cooling systems, operational costs can be lowered by up to 50%. This makes them viable for usage in low-income areas.
Healthcare Savings: Early and correct diagnosis with low-field MRI decreases the pressure on tertiary healthcare centers by allowing for community-based therapy, lowering total healthcare expenses.

Training and ease of use
Low-field MRI devices are intended for convenience of use, allowing healthcare staff with little training to do scans. Remote interpretation services, facilitated by telemedicine platforms, have increased the usefulness of low-field MRI equipment in areas without radiologists.
Case Studies
Several case studies demonstrate the significant impact of low-field MRI in rural and neglected areas: at rural Maharashtra, a portable low-field MRI machine was installed at a district hospital, resulting in a 65% decrease in patient referrals to urban centers and a 40% increase in early diagnosis of neurological and musculoskeletal problems.

Limitations and Future Directions

While low-field MRI has shown promise in rural and resource-limited settings, there remain challenges such as:

1. **Power Supply Dependence**: Limited access to a stable power source can hinder operations. However, solar-powered systems are under development to address this issue.
2. **Image Quality in Complex Cases**: For highly detailed diagnostic requirements, low-field MRI may fall short compared to high-field systems.

Volume 8, Issue 6; November-December 2025; Page No 387-392
© 2025 IJMSCR. All Rights Reserved

Efforts are underway to enhance image resolution through advanced reconstruction algorithms.

Conclusion

Low-field MRI technology has proven to be a game changer in rural and resource-constrained locations where access to sophisticated diagnostic imaging was previously limited. Its price, mobility, and ease of use make it an excellent choice for providing crucial healthcare services in such environments. Low-field MRI is a valuable first-line diagnostic tool, with diagnostic accuracy rates ranging from 80% to 90% for common illnesses such as traumatic injuries, musculoskeletal problems, and neurological abnormalities. These systems' mobility enables them to be deployed in mobile health units and disaster zones, reaching communities who would otherwise be underserved.Economically, the reduced equipment and operational expenses make them viable in low-income areas, alleviating the strain on higher-level healthcare institutions by allowing for early and accurate diagnosis at the community level. Furthermore, the ease of use and interoperability with telemedicine platforms mean that even lightly educated healthcare personnel may efficiently utilize these devices, with remote radiologists aiding with interpretation. Real-world case studies from India, Brazil, and Sub-Saharan Africa demonstrate how this technology may save lives. Overall, low-field MRI has emerged as a viable, scalable, and transformational technology for increasing access to critical imaging services in the world's most underserved places.

© 2025 IJMSCR. All Rights Reserved

References

1. Allam, Mohammad Fouad Abdel Baki, et al. "The Utility of Chemical Shift Imaging and Related Fat Suppression as Standalone Technique in Cryptorchidism Using Low Field MRI." *The Egyptian Journal of Radiology and Nuclear Medicine*, vol. 49, no. 4, Dec. 2018, pp. 1140–1144, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejrnm.2018.07.008. Accessed 9 Dec. 2021.
2. Anoardo, Esteban, and Gonzalo G. Rodriguez. "New Challenges and Opportunities for Low-Field MRI." *Journal of Magnetic Resonance Open*, vol. 14-15, June 2023, p. 100086, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmro.2022.100086. Accessed 13 Mar. 2023.
3. Arnold, T. Campbell, et al. "Sensitivity of Portable Low-Field Magnetic Resonance Imaging for Multiple Sclerosis Lesions." *NeuroImage: Clinical*, vol. 35, 1 Jan. 2022, p. 103101,www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S2213158222001668, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nicl.2022.103101. Accessed 8 Feb. 2023.
4. Arnold, Thomas Campbell, et al. "Low-Field MRI: Clinical Promise and Challenges." *Journal of Magnetic Resonance Imaging*, vol. 57, no. 1, 19 Sept. 2022, onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/full/10.1002/jmri.28408, https://doi.org/10.1002/jmri.28408.
5. Barbora Mašková, et al. "Assessment of the Diagnostic Efficacy of Low-Field Magnetic Resonance Imaging: A Systematic Review." *Diagnostics*, vol. 14, no. 14, 19 July 2024, pp. 1564–1564, https://doi.org/10.3390/diagnostics14141564. Accessed 30 Sept. 2024.
6. Cawley, Paul A., et al. "In-Unit Neonatal Magnetic Resonance Imaging—New Possibilities Offered by Low-Field Technology." *Journal of Perinatology*, vol. 42, no. 7, 1 July 2022, pp.843–844,www.nature.com/articles/s41372-022-01401-https://doi.org/10.1038/s41372-022-01401-w. Accessed 24 June 2024.
7. Chiragzada, Selin, et al. "Advantageous Detection of Significant Prostate Cancer Using a Low-Field, Office-Based MRI System." *Cureus*, vol. 14, no. 12, 1 Dec. 2022, www.cureus.com/articles/117634-advantageous-detection-of-significant-prostate-cancer-using-a-low-field-office-based-mri-system#,https://doi.org/10.7759/cureus.3210. Accessed 22 Dec. 2023.
8. Ertl-Wagner, Birgit, and Matthias Wagner. "Ultralow-Field-Strength MRI and Artificial Intelligence: How Low Can We Go and How High Can We Reach?" *Radiology*, 8 Nov. 2022, https://doi.org/10.1148/radiol.222302.
9. Hori, Masaaki, et al. "Low-Field Magnetic Resonance Imaging: Its History and Renaissance." *Investigative Radiology*, vol. 56, no. 11, 1 Nov. 2021, pp. 669–679, journals.lww.com/investigativeradiology/Fulltext/2021/11000/Low_Field_Magnetic_Resonance_Imaging__Its_History.1.aspx, https://doi.org/10.1097/RLI.0000000000000810.
10. Lévy, Simon, et al. "Free-Breathing Low-Field MRI of the Lungs Detects Functional Alterations Associated with Persistent Symptoms after COVID-19 Infection." *Investigative Radiology*, vol. 57, journals.lww.com/investigativeradiology/fulltext/2022/11000/free_breathing_low_field_mri_of_the_lungs_detects.5.aspx, https://doi.org/10.1097/rli.0000000000000892.Accessed 20 May 2025.
11. Norris, David Gordon, and Andrew Webb. "This House Proposes That Low Field and High Field MRI Are by Destiny Worst Enemies, and Can Never Be the Best of Friends!" *Magnetic Resonance Materials in Physics, Biology and Medicine*, vol. 34, no. 4, 14 July 2021, pp. 475–477, https://doi.org/10.1007/s10334-021-00940-1. Accessed 21 Nov. 2021.
12. Paltiel, Harriet J. "Low-Field MRI and Ventilation-Perfusion Mismatch after Pediatric COVID-19." *Radiology*, 11 Oct. 2022, https://doi.org/10.1148/radiol.222360. Accessed 5 Dec. 2022.
13. Sheth, Kevin N., et al. "Assessment of Brain Injury Using Portable, Low-Field Magnetic Resonance Imaging at the Bedside of Critically Ill Patients."

© 2025 IJMSCR. All Rights Reserved

JAMA Neurology, vol. 78, no. 1, 1 Jan. 2021, p. 41, https://doi.org/10.1001/jamaneurol.2020.3263.

14. Vosshenrich, Jan, et al. "Ökonomische Aspekte Der Niederfeld-Magnetresonanztomographie." *Der Radiologe*, 29 Mar. 2022, https://doi.org/10.1007/s00117-022-00986-9.

Volume 8, Issue 6; November-December 2025; Page No 387-392

© 2025 IJMSCR. All Rights Reserved