



A Case Report on Endodontic Retreatment and Post and Core Esthetic Restoration

¹. Dr.Ajinkya.Vernekar, P.G student ². Dr.P.Manoranjan Reddy, HOD ³. Dr.Robert.M.Justin, Reader

^{1,2,3} Dept of Conservative & Endodontics, Aditya Dental College, Beed

⁴. Dr.Pratik.C.Parkarwar, Sr.Lecturer

Dept of Oral Medicine & Radiology, PDU Dental College Solapur

Corresponding Author:

Dr.Pratik.C.Parkarwar, Sr.Lecturer

Dept of Oral Medicine & Radiology, PDU Dental College Solapur

Type of Publication: Original Research Paper

Conflicts of Interest: Nil

ABSTRACT

Endodontic retreatment, when properly indicated, allows the maintenance of dental elements. The evolution of endodontic techniques and materials has reduced the indication of surgical procedures even for teeth with intracanal posts. Clinical Case-In the present report 33 year old patient reported with pain and displaced prosthesis. On radiographic examination there was Short gutta percha less than working length. Treatment comprises of removal of gutta-percha followed by Post and Core.

Keywords: Post, core, ceramic, crown lengthening.

INTRODUCTION

Reconstruction of endodontically treated teeth is a great challenge in restorative dentistry since the tooth crown is usually totally or partially lost by caries, erosion, abrasion, previous restorations, trauma or endodontic access. If more than half of the coronal structure has been lost, a root canal post is required to provide retention for the restoration.^[1]

When assessing an endodontically treated tooth for restorative treatment, it has to be assumed that good judgments have been made previously concerning the periodontal status of the tooth, the remaining tooth structure, and the prognosis of the endodontic treatment. The tooth to be restored should exhibit a good apical seal when evaluating the radiograph, and also exhibit no sensitivity to percussion or palpation, no exudates, no fistula, no apical sensitivity, and no active inflammation.^[2]

Generally, endodontic failure occurs due to technical and pathological factors or it is influenced by systemic factors. The prevention of further complications coming from the maintenance of unsatisfactory endodontic treatment in a tooth that

will support an intraradicular post and core and a fixed crown, demands the immediate root canal opening and justifies the retreatment necessity.^[3]

The basis of success in teeth retreatment with failed primary endodontic treatment is the removal of various materials from the root canal – guttapercha, broken endodontic instruments, silver points. This frequently can be metal posts placed in root canals in teeth with large destruction of the clinical crown. Their removal is of essential importance for accessing endodontic space and total cleaning and disinfection of the root canal system.^[4]

Strictly, all tooth, with or without the pulp vitality, is important for the stomatognathic system. Often, the tooth is so valuable that its removal will develop certain conditions and solutions even more complicated.^[5,6]

By this perspective, the aim of this case report was to report a clinical case of displaced intraradicular post and core followed by endodontic retreatment in the upper central incisors' area.

Case report

A 33 year old female patient reported in post graduate clinic of the Department of Conservative Dentistry and Endodontics of our institute with chief complaint of removal pain in upper front tooth region since 20 days. Patient give the history of root canal treatment in upper front tooth region in private clinic

two years back. On clinical examination Tender on percussion was observed in maxillary central incisor and with there was displaced prosthesis. (Fig 1) On radiographic examination there was shorting of guttapercha in relation to 21.



Preoperative (Fig1)

Clinical procedure

The access cavity was re-entered using a endo access bur. (Dentsply) Coronal guttapercha was heat softened and engaged with the help of H-files (Dentsply, Maileffer). Entire contents of the canal were removed and confirmed radiographically. Canal was irrigated with saline to flush the GP and sealer remnants. A size 45 H-file was inserted into the main root canal. Working length was determined at 19 mm for the apex. (Fig 2)



Guttapercha Removal and Working length Taken (Fig2)

Bio Mechanical Preparation (BMP) was done using Protaper Universal File System Till 19mm And Glyde (Dentsply) as a chelating agent and irrigation was alternated using Sodium Hypochlorite (NaOCl 3%) and normal saline. Chlorhexidine (Dentachlor 2%) was used as a final rinse. Calcium hydroxide paste (RC cal) was used as an intracanal medicament and the cavity was closed with Zinc Oxide Eugenol (ZnOE) temporary cement. Antibiotics and analgesics were prescribed to manage the pain. Patient was recalled after ten days for further follow up. Patient was totally asymptomatic on the second visit. Master cone radiograph was taken to confirm the length and size. Canals were coated with AH plus sealer (Dentsply) and a size 30 master cone coated with sealer was inserted into the super root. Lateral condensation was done using a size 20 spreader and accessory cones added. Obturation was done and confirmed radio graphically. (Fig 3 a & b)



Master cone Fig3 a



Obturation Fig 3 b

Patient is recalled after 3 days ; and post Cavity preparation was done by pessoremer 1 to 6 by Which 2/3 rd of Cannal Gutta-percha removed that is 15mm .



Post Cavity Prepration (Fig4)

Later, crown preparation for PFM or ceramic crown was done followed by inlay post impression was taken using inlay wax



Inlay post impression (Fig5)

Inlay impression is processed and cemented by using Fugii9 cement (Fig6 a & b)



Post cementation (Fig6 a)



post cementation Radiograph (Fig 6b)

Putty impression is taken and PFM crown was Prepared any Cemented (Fig7)



Postoperative(fig8)

3. Discussion

In the present case report retreatment procedure was performed follow up by post and core. Endodontics & Prosthodontics go hand in hand to retain pulpless, badly broken down teeth that would have been otherwise seemed fit for extraction & thereby reinstating them as a functional member of the masticatory system [7]. When the remaining tooth structure cannot provide adequate support and retention for restoration, endodontically treated teeth are usually restored with posts. Restoring these teeth using materials with a similar elastic modulus to dentine appears advantageous due to the reduced risk of root fracture. The fracture resistance of endodontically treated teeth has been reported to be principally dependent on the amount of remaining tooth structure and adhesive surface, the quality of adhesion, and the type of post because posts increase the fracture resistance of the root, especially in the absence of a full crown. The need for retreatment has been frequently analyzed by using different points of view. In different European countries, epidemiological studies have shown a large number of teeth to be retreated because of periapical

radiolucencies resulting from poor root-canal therapies [8]. In 2004, Friedman reported healing rates of nonsurgical retreatment range between 74% and 98%, but with apical surgery alone, only 59% heal completely. Apical surgery preceded by orthograde retreatment 80% healing reported. It should be kept in mind that certain endodontically failing teeth are not amenable to successful retreatment. In these instances, the various interdisciplinary treatment options should be carried out for the best management of the patient. Before commencing with any treatment, it is wise to fully consider all the various treatment options. When the choice is endodontic nonsurgical retreatment, then the goal should be to access the pulp. The practitioner's ability to accurately assess the restorative, endodontic and periodontal outcomes will result in successful retreatment plans [9]

4. Conclusion

The reasons for treatment failure can be multivalent. Correct diagnosis of failure is very important for deciding the retreatment option. Nonsurgical retreatment should be the first treatment choice, except when a canal cannot be completely negotiated

because of an apical or coronal obstruction or a re-treatment attempt has already failed. The correct treatment choice can be made with the correct equipment available and the proper skills, adequate knowledge about armamentarium and experience.

5. References

- 1 Anil K Tomer, Anjali Miglani, Priyali Chauhan and Siddharth Dubey. Endodontic post and core retreatment –A case report. International Journal of Applied Research 2017; : 239-241
2. Bonfante G et al. Fracture strength of teeth with flared root canals restored with glass fibre posts. International Dental Journal. 2007; 57:153-160
3. Kaira LS et al. Carbon Fiber Post to Reinforce Esthetics. Journal of Dental and Allied Sciences. 2013; 2(1):39-42. 3. Estrela Ciênciaendodôntica C. São Paulo, Artes Médicas, 2004.
4. Vangelov L, Vladimirov S. Removal of filling materials from the root canal in endodontic retreatment Zabolokarskipregled, 2005; 87(2):140-146. [in Bulgarian]
5. Karova E, Topalova-Pirinska S. Comparison of the time required for ultrasonic removal of prefabricated intraradicular posts. J of IMAB, 2013; 19(4):426-429.
6. Kratchman S, Iqbal M. Endodontic retreatment techniques. Inside dentistry, 2006; 2:2.
7. Vaidya Vidya N, Chitnis Deepa P. A comparative evaluation of the fracture resistance of endodontically treated teeth with compromised intra radicular tooth structure using three different post system. People's Journal of Scientific Research Jan. 2011; 4(1):6-11.
8. Kvist T, Reit C. Results of endodontic retreatment: a randomized clinical study comparing surgical and nonsurgical procedures. J Endodon. 1999; 25: 814-7.
9. Padma K, Shah A. Retreatment in Endodontics. Journal of advanced Dental and Medical Sciences Research. 2015; 391:205-208.